

1. Context of Negotiations

At the United Nations (UN) Climate Change Conference, held in Durban in 2011, governments agreed that they needed to accelerate the reduction of global greenhouse gas emissions and that the existing international regime, the 1992 United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) needed to be strengthened. The UNFCCC itself is a rather vague framework treaty. Parties only later agreed on binding mitigation targets under the 1997 Kyoto Protocol, but only for developed countries and with important actors, like the United States never ratifying the Protocol. Parties thus decided in Durban to launch a negotiation process that would develop a new legal agreement to be adopted in 2015 in Paris and that would be applicable to all Parties¹. The agreement is set to enter into force in 2020. This new negotiation track, named the "Ad-hoc Working Group on the Durban Platform for Enhanced Action" (ADP) is crucial because it also tries to bring on board all Parties, including major emitters of GHG emissions among the developing countries.

At the 19th Conference of Parties (COP 19) in 2013 in Warsaw, Parties agreed that they would initiate or intensify domestic preparation for their so called "intended nationally determined contributions" (INDC) towards that agreement, and to communicate these well before the COP21 in Paris in December 2015. These INDCs set out the mitigation pledges, countries are willing to propose for the period post-2020. This decision can be seen as a big step for developing countries, many of which are for the first time designing mitigation plans or targets, or communicating them at the international level. It also explains why Parties were hesitant to agree on a common format in which these INDCs should be presented, or what type of targets or actions they should contain. It is still contested whether INDCs should cover mitigation only, or also adaptation and finance. Parties were also not able to agree on the type of mitigation contribution to put forward, i.e. economy-wide absolute emission reduction targets, emission-intensity targets, climate actions etc.

By the end of October, 155 Parties (including the European Union member states) have submitted their INDCs, which came as a very positive surprise to many observers². This includes the largest emitters of CO₂, namely China (29% of global emissions), the United States (16%), the EU (11%), India (6%), the Russian Federation (5%) and Japan (3.8%), accounting for over two thirds of global emissions³.

Five countries constitute over two thirds of global emissions

China ranks as top global CO₂ emitter, outperforming USA




Figure 1: Emissions of top CO₂ emitters, as percentage of total global emissions

Source: European Commission, A policy framework for climate and energy in the period from 2020

to 2030, 2014.

2. International Landscape

2.1 China

China ranks as the world largest [GHG](#) emitter, outperforming [USA](#)⁴ and accounting for almost 30% of global CO₂ emissions. This fact is also responsible for the dangerously high levels of air pollution, as seen during the "airpocalypse"⁵, in the winter of 2014 that hit the capital Beijing and a number of other cities.

China also constitutes one of the world's most carbon-intensive countries, meaning that it has one of the highest ratios of CO₂ emissions to energy use. The combination of China's large, rapidly growing economy and its carbon intensity implies that its policies will be crucial for global climate change prospects.

Under the 2010 Cancun Agreements, China pledged to reduce its carbon intensity by 40-45% from 2005 levels by 2020. The country's 12th Five Year Plan, adopted in 2011, includes policies to reduce energy intensity and CO₂ intensity by 16% and 17% per unit of [GDP](#), respectively, by 2015, while increasing non-fossil energy to 11,4% of total energy use within the same period⁶.

During the UN Climate Change Summit in New York in September 2014, this plan was reiterated by Chinese Vice premier Zhang Gaoli. He also stated that China would ensure its carbon dioxide emissions peak as early as possible. It was added that China would announce post-2020 actions on climate change the soonest possible, including market progress in reducing carbon intensity⁷.

In November 2014, President Xi Jinping announced China's political intention to peak its CO₂ emissions by 2030 at the latest and increase the share of zero-emission, non-fossil energy sources of the total [primary energy](#) supply to at least 20% by then⁸. These political targets were jointly announced with USA, therefore rendering the two largest global emitters as role models for other countries, so that a global climate agreement can be reached in 2015.

The Chinese [INDC](#) document⁹, which was submitted to the [UNFCCC](#) Secretariat on June 30th 2015, largely reflects the commitments made as part of the agreement with the US. Specifically, based on its national circumstances, development stage, sustainable development strategy and international responsibility, China has nationally determined its actions by 2030 as follows:

- To achieve the peaking of carbon dioxide emissions around 2030 and making best efforts to peak early;
- To lower carbon dioxide emissions per unit of [GDP](#) by 60% to 65% from the 2005 level;
- To increase the share of non-fossil fuels in primary energy consumption to around 20%;
- To increase the forest stock volume by around 4.5 billion cubic meters on the 2005 level.

China also plans to increase its installed capacity of wind power to 200GW and solar power to around 100 gigawatts (GW), up from 95.81GW and 28GW today, respectively. It will also increase its use of natural gas which is expected to make up more than 10% of its [primary energy](#) consumption by 2020¹⁰.

China is estimated to achieve its 2020 pledge and the objectives stated for 2030, reaching a share of 20% of non-fossil fuels in a manner that is consistent with peaking CO₂ emissions by 2030, although the resulting emission level is uncertain¹¹. However, according to the Climate Action Tracker (CAT) INDCs Assessment, China's submission is rated as "medium with inadequate carbon intensity targets", which if taken literally would only be reached at the expense of important national policies and actions, including in relation to reduced air pollution¹².

2.2 USA

In November 2014, US announced its aim to reduce emissions by 26 to 28% below 2005 in 2025 and to make best efforts to reduce by 28%⁸. This reduction accelerates the emissions reduction rate compared to the US 2020 pledge (17% below 2005 levels by 2020¹³) and is in line with the US long-term target for 2050. The national long-term goal is to reduce emissions by 83% below 2005 by 2050, as stated in the American Clean Energy and Security Act of 2009 (ACES) in the 111th United States Congress¹⁴.

As can be seen in Figure 3, US emissions constantly increased until 2007, when the financial crisis occurred. Despite the latest downward trend, the US is unlikely to meet its proposed 2025 goals with the policies currently in place, according to Climate Action Tracker (CAT) assessment, and further actions are needed to achieve significant emissions reductions after 2020¹⁵.

Downward trend in the US emissions

Need for further actions to achieve significant emissions reductions after 2020




Figure 2: Emission trajectories for the US

Source: Climate Action Tracker policy brief (CAT) 1, December 2014

The additional measures, outlined by the US Administration in "The President's Climate Action Plan" (CAP)¹⁶ in June 2013, can contribute to the achievement of the 2020 pledge. Additionally, the "Clean Power Plan" (CPP)¹⁷, announced in June 2014, underlined a plan that aims to reduce emissions from the power sector by 30% below 2005 levels by 2025. Such a reduction would be an important step, but its impact is insufficient to meet the long-term US targets¹⁸. High on the agenda of the CAP is the increase of [energy efficiency](#), the doubling of renewable energy sources generation by 2020 and the doubling of energy productivity by 2030, in comparison to 2010 levels. Control of methane emissions, [HFCs](#) and [LULUCF](#) emissions is also envisaged.

The US government officially submitted its [INDC](#) for the Paris Agreement on March 31st 2015¹⁹. The US intends, as expected, to achieve an economy-wide target of reducing its greenhouse gas emissions by 26% - 28% below 2005 levels in 2025 (making best efforts to reach 28%), and to accomplish this goal with existing regulatory authority, notably Clean Power Plan (CPP) and fuel economy standards for vehicles²⁰. According to the CAT INDCs Assessment, though, US pledges are not yet consistent with limiting warming to below 2°C unless other countries make much deeper reductions and comparably greater effort. Thus, the US submission has been rated as "medium"²¹.

The current US policy framework demonstrates that the government is creating opportunities to push forward climate change policies, while at the same time it is necessary for the US government to prepare future actions that will lead to further [GHG](#) reductions.

2.3 EU-28

The European Council agreed in 2009 to support the long-term objective of reducing EU [GHG](#) emissions by 80-95% by 2050, compared to 1990 levels, in the context of necessary reductions according to the [IPCC](#) by developed countries as a group²².

To outline the path towards achieving this commitment to a low-carbon future, the EC presented the "Roadmap for moving to a competitive low-carbon economy in 2050". Within this document it is suggested that by 2050, the EU should cut its emissions to 80% below 1990 levels through domestic reductions alone. This goal is considered to be achieved in a cost-effective way by setting intermediate targets for 2030 and 2040, at a 40% and 60% reduction, respectively²³.

In October 24, 2014, the European Council approved the 2030 Framework for Climate and Energy. The decision was formed well in advance of the Paris Climate Conference, in order to facilitate the submission of the EU's offer by early 2015. The objectives to be met by 2030 consisted of²⁴:

- a binding EU target of at least 40% domestic reduction of [GHG](#) emissions by 2030, compared to 1990;
- a binding target of at least 27% of renewable energy used at EU level;
- an "indicative" and non-binding target of at least 27% increase of [energy efficiency](#). This target will be further reviewed in 2020, having in mind a level of 30% for 2030;
- the completion of the internal energy market by reaching an electricity interconnection target of 15% between Member States and pushing forward important infrastructure projects.

The EU was the second party, after Switzerland, to submit their [INDC](#) to the [UNFCCC](#) Secretariat, on March 6th 2015²⁵. The EU's [INDC](#), in line with the European Commission's Proposal, commits the EU and its Member States to the binding target of an at least 40% domestic reduction in greenhouse gas emissions. It also explicitly excludes from its 40% reduction commitment any contribution from international credits, which is in line with the Commission's intention to exclude all international credits from the EU [Emissions Trading](#) System (ETS). However, due to Member States' divergent views on how to include Land Use, Land Use Change and Forestry ([LULUCF](#)) in the 40% reduction binding target, the EU's [INDC](#) merely states that a "policy on how to include [[LULUCF](#)] into the 2030 greenhouse mitigation framework will be established as soon as technical conditions allow and in any case before 2020"²⁶.

According to the CAT assessment, the projections with EU's current policies continue the past downward trend and put it on a good trajectory toward meeting its 2020 target. However, while emissions continue to decrease, current policies are projected to reduce emissions by 23-35% below 1990 levels by 2030 and therefore, do not - yet - put the EU on a trajectory towards meeting its 2030 targets (40% reduction). EU's [INDC](#) has also been rated as "medium", due to the fact that the overall level of [GHG](#) emissions reductions proposed in it is not yet sufficient to fall within the range of approaches for fair and equitable emission reductions for the EU²⁷.

Downward trend in the EU emissions

EU is on a good trajectory toward meeting its 2020 target, but not its 2030 target




Figure 3: Emission trajectories for the EU-28

Source: Climate Action Tracker policy brief (CAT), December 2014

2.4 India

India, until recently, did not intend to announce any binding targets regarding emissions reduction for the pre or post 2020 era. India's leaders had opposed to any binding emission cuts and had been in favour of a set of post-2020 arrangements resembling the Kyoto type approach.

As stated in India's submission for the Ad Hoc Working Group on the Durban Platform for Enhanced Action²⁸: "Under the Kyoto Protocol, developed countries have binding emission cuts in absolute terms which have to be fulfilled at economy wide level and there is a [compliance](#) regime for verifying and enforcing the achievement of targets. The responsibilities of developing countries in a post 2020 arrangement will clearly need to be built on the principles of equity and common but differentiated responsibility". It was also added that the post 2020 arrangements must include commitments of developed countries in quantified and specific terms to provide financing and technology enablement and support to developing countries.

However, India has made significant commitments to clean energy and a sustainable economy, including ramping up the existing target of 20 GW of install solar energy by 2022 to a new ambitious solar target of 100 GW, which corresponds to almost half of India's total electricity generation capacity in 2013. Furthermore, the Indian Finance Minister, Arun Jaitley, made in March 2015 the announcement to double tax on coal production as a way of showing India's commitment to tackling climate change and reaching a solid agreement at the Paris Summit²⁹.

Additionally, in a total change of attitude, India finally announced its long-awaited binding post - 2020 targets on 1 October 2015, by submitting their [INDC](#) to the [UNFCCC](#) Secretariat³⁰. India intends to lower the emissions intensity of its [GDP](#) by 33% to 35% by 2030 below 2005 levels, to increase the share of non-fossil based power generation capacity to 40% of installed electric power capacity by 2030 (equivalent to 26-30% of generation in 2030), and to create an additional (cumulative) carbon sink of 2.5-3 GtCO₂e through additional forest and tree cover by 2030³¹. According to the CAT INDCs Assessment, India's submission is rated as "medium", indicating that India's climate plans are at the least ambitious end of what would be a fair contribution and not consistent with limiting warming to below 2°C, unless other countries make much deeper reductions and comparably greater effort³².

2.5 Russian Federation

On April 1st 2015, the Russian Federation submitted their [INDC33](#), in which a reduction in its emissions of net greenhouse gases ([GHG](#)) by 25% to 30% below the 1990 level by 2030 is proposed³⁴. The goal builds on an earlier target of limiting emissions to 75% of 1990 levels by 2020, but the [INDC](#) does not address any adaptation issues³⁵.

Russia's has formulated two energy targets that refer to different baselines and target years. The

first presidential degree, "Concerning some Measures to Increase Energy and Ecological Efficiency of the Russian Economy"[36](#) was adopted in 2008 and includes an energy intensity target of a 40% reduction by 2020 compared to 2007 levels. The second energy intensity target of 44% reduction by 2030 was adopted in 2009. Other capacity-based targets of the country include 3600 MW of wind power, 1520 MW of solar power and 751 of small scale hydro power over the period 2014–2020[37](#).

Russia has had high emissions related to the flaring of natural gas. Emissions in Russia dropped in the 1990s, due to the collapse of the Soviet Union, but since then, emissions have increased and experienced only a small impact from the financial crisis. They are expected to continue on the same trend until 2030[3](#).

According to the CAT INDCs assessment (which rated the Russian submission "inadequate"), "Russian Federation would allow for the highest emissions from industrial sources of GHG in 2030. After accounting for forestry, the target represents a reduction of only 6% to 11% below 1990 levels excluding [LULUCF](#) emissions. Compared to the 2012 emissions level, this represents an increase in emissions excluding [LULUCF](#) of 30% to 38%"[38](#).

3. Comparative Assessment

Climate change is a global problem that requires a global solution. There has been a strong trend to reduce [GHG](#) emissions and more and more countries propose 2020 emissions reduction goals. By the end of October 2015, 128 INDCs[2](#), reflecting 155 countries (including the European Union Member states) and covering around 87% of global emissions in 2010 (excluding [LULUCF](#)) and 88% of global population[39](#), have been submitted to the [UNFCCC](#) Secretariat in preparation for the adoption of the Paris Agreement in December 2015.

The two larger emitters of greenhouse gases, China and the US, are both stepping up their actions to reduce emissions and these measures could have a significant impact on global emissions. In particular, according to the CAT Countries assessment[40](#), if China, the US and the European Union (who together comprise around 53% of global emissions) implement their post-2020 plans, they would limit global temperature rise to around 3°C by 2100 - 0.2°C-0.4°C lower than it would have been prior to their announcements. These findings represent significant progress and are more ambitious than previous commitments, but remain insufficient to limit warming below 2°C.

As can be seen in Figure 5, the implementation of post-2020 policies announced would change the scenery of emissions reduction for both USA and the EU, leading to reduced emissions intensity. USA's ambitious targets are projected to lead to lower emission intensity than the EU for the period after 2020. China's results, on the other hand, seem to overlap, indicating that more ambitious post-2020 targets could be adopted, as there is significant room for improvement[41](#).

Furthermore, the Synthesis report on the aggregate effect of the submitted INDCs, released on 30 October 2015 by the [UNFCCC](#) secretariat[42](#), indicates that the collective impact of 146 national climate action plans can dramatically slow global emissions into the atmosphere. Another key finding is that their aggregate impact will lead to a fall in per capita emissions over the coming 15 years[43](#).

GHG intensity improvements for the EU and the US with the post 2020 policies

China [GHG](#) intensity for the post 2020 policies is at the same levels with current policies




Figure 4: [GHG](#) intensity trends for EU, US, China

Source: Climate Action Tracker policy brief (CAT), December 2014

Sources

- [1. UNFCCC](#) website: “Decision 1/CP: Establishment of an Ad Hoc Working Group on the Durban Platform for Enhanced Action”, available at: <http://unfccc.int/resource/docs/2011/cop17/eng/09a01.pdf#page=2>, last accessed: 03/11/2015
- [2. a. b. UNFCCC](#) website: “INDCs as communicated by Parties”, available at: <http://www4.unfccc.int/submissions/indc/Submission%20Pages/submissions.aspx>, last accessed: 03/11/2015
- [3. a. b.](#) Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions (2014): “A Policy Framework For Climate And Energy In The Period From 2020 To 2030”, Brussels, available at: <http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:52014DC0015...>, last accessed 8/6/2015.
- [4.](#) Wesselink, L.G., Eerens, H., Vis, J. (2008): “EU 2020 climate target: 20% reduction requires five-fold increase in impact of CO₂ policies”, Netherlands Environmental Assessment Agency report 500094007, available at: http://www.pbl.nl/sites/default/files/cms/publicaties/EU_2020_climate_ta..., last accessed 8/6/2015.
- [5.](#) The Guardian website (2014): “China pledges to cut emissions at UN climate summit”, available at: <http://www.theguardian.com/environment/chinas-choice/2014/sep/24/china-p...>, last accessed: 8/6/2015.
- [6.](#) KPMG Advisory (China) website: “China's 12th Five Year Plan: Overview”, March 2011, available at: <http://www.kpmg.com/CN/en/IssuesAndInsights/ArticlesPublications/Publica...>, last accessed: 03/11/2015
- [7.](#) The Guardian website (2014): “China pledges to cut emissions at UN climate summit”, available at: <http://www.theguardian.com/environment/chinas-choice/2014/sep/24/china-p...>, last accessed: 03/11/2015
- [8. a. b.](#) The White House website (2014): “Fact Sheet: U.S.-China Joint Announcement on Climate Change and Clean Energy Cooperation”, available at: <http://www.whitehouse.gov/the-press-office/2014/11/11/fact-sheet-us-chin...>, last accessed 8/6/2015.
- [9. UNFCCC](#) website: “INDCs as communicated by Parties”, China’s submission available at: <http://www4.unfccc.int/submissions/INDC/Published%20Documents/China/1/China's%20INDC%20-%20on%2030%20June%202015.pdf>, last accessed: 1/10/2015
- [10.](#) The Guardian website (2015): “China makes carbon pledge ahead of Paris climate change summit”, available at: <http://www.theguardian.com/environment/2015/jun/30/china-carbon-emission...>, last accessed: 1/10/2015
- [11.](#) Climate Analytics, Ecofys, Potsdam Institute for Climate Impact Research (PIK), New Climate Institute (2014): “China, US and EU post-2020 plans reduce projected warming”, Climate Action Tracker Policy Brief, available at:

- http://climateactiontracker.org/assets/publications/briefing_papers/CAT_... , last accessed: 8/6/2015.
- **12.** Climate Action Tracker (CAT) website (2015): “Countries – China rating”, available at: <http://climateactiontracker.org/countries/China.html>, last accessed: 03/11/2015
 - **13.** US Department of Energy- [Energy Efficiency](#) and Renewable Energy website (2009), “President Obama Sets a Target for Cutting U.S. Greenhouse Gas Emissions”, available at: http://apps1.eere.energy.gov/news/news_detail.cfm/news_id=15650, last accessed: 8/6/2015.
 - **14.** Center for Climate and Energy Solution (C2ES) website: “111th Congress Climate Change Legislation”, available at: <http://www.c2es.org/federal/congress/111> , last accessed: 8/6/2015.
 - **15.** Climate Analytics, Ecofys, Potsdam Institute for Climate Impact Research (PIK), New Climate Institute (2014): “China, US and EU post-2020 plans reduce projected warming”, Climate Action Tracker Policy Brief, available at: http://climateactiontracker.org/assets/publications/briefing_papers/CAT_... , last accessed: 03/11/2015.
 - **16.** The White House website (2013): “President Obama’s Climate Action Plan”, available at: <https://www.whitehouse.gov/the-press-office/2013/06/25/fact-sheet-presid...> , last accessed 8/6/2015.
 - **17.** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), (EPA Factsheet: Overview Of The Clean Power Plan Cutting Carbon Pollution from Power Plants): “”, available at: <http://www2.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2014-05/documents/20140602fs-...>, last accessed: 8/6/2015.
 - **18.** Climate Action Tracker website: Countries: USA (2014), available at: <http://climateactiontracker.org/countries/usa/2014.html>, last accessed: 8/6/2015.
 - **19.** UNFCCC website: “INDCs as communicated by Parties”, USA’s submission available at: <http://www4.unfccc.int/submissions/INDC/Published%20Documents/United%20S...> , last accessed: 1/10/2015
 - **20.** The Climate Reality Project website: “Getting Serious: The US commits to action in Paris”, available at: <https://www.climateRealityProject.org/blog/getting-serious-us-commits-ac...> , last accessed: 1/10/2015
 - **21.** Climate Action Tracker (CAT) website (2015): “Countries – USA rating”, available at: <http://climateactiontracker.org/countries/usa.html>, last accessed: 03/11/2015
 - **22.** Council of the European Union, Brussels European Council 29/30 October 2009: Presidency Conclusions, available at: file:///C:/Users/pdede/Downloads/DOC-09-5_EN.pdf , last accessed: 8/6/2015.
 - **23.** Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions (2011): “A Roadmap for moving to a competitive low carbon economy in 2050”, Brussels, available at: <http://eur-lex.europa.eu/resource.html?uri=cellar:5db26ecc-ba4e-4de2-ae0...> , last accessed 8/6/2015.
 - **24.** European Commission, “2030 Framework for Climate and Energy: Outcome of the October 2014 European Council”, available at: http://ec.europa.eu/clima/policies/2030/docs/2030_euco_conclusions_en.pdf , last accessed: 8/6/2015.
 - **25.** UNFCCC website: “INDCs as communicated by Parties”, EU’s submission available at: <http://www4.unfccc.int/submissions/INDC/Published%20Documents/Latvia/1/L...> , last accessed: 1/10/2015
 - **26.** Inside Energy & Environment website (2015): “The Road to Paris 2015: The EU Commits to a 40% Reduction of Greenhouse Gas Emissions as Part of its [INDC](#) to the [UNFCCC COP 21](#)”, available at: <http://www.insideenergyandenvironment.com/2015/03/the-road-to-paris-2015...> , last accessed: 1/10/2015
 - **27.** Climate Action Tracker (CAT) website (2015): “Countries – EU rating”, available at: <http://climateactiontracker.org/countries/eu.html>, last accessed: 03/11/2015
 - **28.** UNFCCC (2012). “India’s submission on work plan of the ad hoc working group on the Durban Platform for enhanced action”, available at: <http://unfccc.int/resource/docs/2012/adp1/eng/misc03.pdf> , last accessed: 8/6/2015.

- [29.](#) Climate Action Programme - [UNEP](#) website (2015): “India paves way to COP21 by taxing coal production”, available at: http://www.climateactionprogramme.org/news/india_paves_way_to_cop21_by_t..., last accessed: 1/10/2015
- [30.](#) [UNFCCC](#) website: “INDCs as communicated by Parties”, India’s submission available at: <http://www4.unfccc.int/submissions/indc/Submission%20Pages/submissions.aspx>, last accessed: 03/11/2015
- [31.](#) The Climate Group (2015). “India submits [INDC](#), pledging to reduce emissions intensity up to 35% by 2030”, available at: <http://www.theclimategroup.org/what-we-do/news-and-blogs/india-submits-i...>, last accessed: 03/11/2015
- [32.](#) Climate Action Tracker (CAT) website (2015): “Countries – India rating”, available at: <http://climateactiontracker.org/countries/india.html>, last accessed: 03/11/2015
- [33.](#) [UNFCCC](#) website: “INDCs as communicated by Parties”, Russia’s submission available at: <http://www4.unfccc.int/submissions/indc/Submission%20Pages/submissions.aspx>, last accessed: 1/10/2015
- [34.](#) Climate Action Tracker website: Countries: Russian Federation (2015), available at: <http://climateactiontracker.org/countries/russianfederation.html>, last accessed: 8/6/2015.
- [35.](#) Climate Change Policy & Practice website (2015): “Russian Federation, Gabon Submit INDCs”, available at: <http://climate-l.iisd.org/news/russian-federation-gabon-submit-indcs/>, last accessed: 1/10/2015
- [36.](#) Industrial Efficiency Policy Database website: “RU-3: Federal law on energy conservation and energy efficiency”, available at: <http://iepd.iipnetwork.org/policy/federal-law-energy-conservation-and-en...>, last accessed: 8/6/2015.
- [37.](#) Ministry of Energy of the Russian Federation (2010), “Energy Strategy of Russia for the period up to 2030”, available at: http://www.energystrategy.ru/projects/docs/ES-2030_%28Eng%29.pdf, last accessed: 03/11/2015
- [38.](#) Climate Action Tracker (CAT) website (2015): “Countries – Russian Federation rating”, available at: <http://climateactiontracker.org/countries/russianfederation.html>, last accessed: 03/11/2015
- [39.](#) Climate Action Tracker website: “Tracking INDCs”, available at: <http://climateactiontracker.org/indcs.html>, last accessed: 1/10/2015
- [40.](#) Climate Action Tracker website: “Rating Countries”, available at: <http://climateactiontracker.org/countries.html>, last accessed: 03/11/2015
- [41.](#) Climate Analytics, Ecofys, Potsdam Institute for Climate Impact Research (PIK), New Climate Institute, (2014). “New China, US, EU post-2020 plans reduce projected warming”, Climate Tracker Press Release of 8 October 2014, available at: <http://climateactiontracker.org/publications/pressreleases/168/China-US-...>, last accessed 8/6/2015.
- [42.](#) [UNFCCC](#) website (30 October 2015): “Synthesis report on the aggregate effect of the intended nationally determined contributions”, available at: <http://unfccc.int/resource/docs/2015/cop21/eng/07.pdf>, last accessed: 03/11/2015
- [43.](#) [UNFCCC](#) Newsroom website (2015): “Global Response to Climate Change Keeps Door Open to 2 Degree C Temperature Limit - New UN Report Synthesizes National Climate Plans from 146 Countries”, available at: <http://newsroom.unfccc.int/unfccc-newsroom/indc-synthesis-report-press-r...>, last accessed: 03/11/2015